

Please read the [usage disclaimer](#) for Course Evaluations.

*Education
Spring Quarter 2003*

EDUC 271

Instr. Tech for Teachers

Course Information

Section Number: 31584
Instructor: Hernandez-Ramos, Pedro F
Location: BANNA 210
Class times: - - :
Class Days: W
Credits (hi/lo): 2.00 - 2.00
Enrollment: 28

Student Responses:

Questions 1 through 11 are rated on a 5-point scale which described the instructor's teaching procedures:

- 1 low
- 5 high

	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	Total	Mean	Variance	StdDev
1. The instructor presents class material clearly	0	0	1	10	16	27	4.56	0.32	0.57
2. The course is well organized	0	0	0	9	18	27	4.67	0.22	0.47
3. The instructor appears well prepared for class	0	0	0	3	24	27	4.89	0.10	0.31

4. The instructor demonstrates an interest in students

0 0 0 6 21 27 4.78 0.17 0.42

5. The instructor is available to students during posted office hours (*Respond only if you have tried to contact the instructor during office hours*)

0 0 0 2 5 7 4.71 0.20 0.45

6. The instructor takes care to assure him/herself by tests, questions, discussions, etc. that the students are comprehending the subject

0 0 3 9 15 27 4.44 0.47 0.68

7. The instructor appears to be knowledgeable in the subject

0 0 0 0 27 27 5.00 0.00 0.00

8. The instructor appears to enjoy teaching

0 0 0 2 25 27 4.93 0.07 0.26

9. The instructor encourages the students to ask questions and/or express opinions

0 0 0 5 22 27 4.81 0.15 0.39

10. The course has contributed to my capacity for critical evaluation

0 1 7 11 8 27 3.96 0.70 0.84

11. The course has stimulated me to do independent thinking

0 2 3 16 5 26 3.92 0.61 0.78

.....

Questions 13 through 17 use different scales. The response scale is listed above each question.

12. The work load for this course in relation to other courses I have taken at this university is:

much about much
lighter lighter same heavier heavier Total Mean Variance StdDev

0 5 19 2 1 27 2.96 0.41 0.64

13. My college at Santa Clara is:

science human. engr. business

4 20 0 0 24 1.83 0.14 0.37

14. My status at Santa Clara is:

other grad junior sr. frosh soph

1 26 0 0 27 1.96 0.04 0.19

15. My primary reason for taking this course was:

free electv req'd non-major
electv major for req'd major course

0 1 0 25 26 3.92 0.15 0.38

16. My cumulative Santa Clara grade point average is:

below 2.79 3.29 4.0
2.3 to 2.3 to 2.8 to 3.3

0 0 1 25 26 3.96 0.04 0.19

17. What percentage of class time were you in attendance?

below 74% 89% 100%
50% to 50% to 75% to 90%

0 0 0 27 27 4.00 0.00 0.00

Number of response cards read: 27

Notes:

- *None.*

[SCU Homepage](#) | [Course Evaluations page](#) | [Search database](#) | [General Help](#)

03-04 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

02-03 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

01-02 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
00-01 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
99-00 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
98-99 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
97-98 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
96-97 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
95-96 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
94-95 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

Maintainer: EvalsManager evalmgr@www.scu.edu

Last changed: 29 August 2003

© Copyright 1995-2003 Santa Clara University