

Please read the [usage disclaimer](#) for Course Evaluations.

Education
Spring Quarter 2003

EDUC 271

Instr. Tech for Teachers

Course Information

Section Number: 31585
Instructor: Hernandez-Ramos, Pedro F
Location: BANNA 236
Class times: - - :
Class Days: R
Credits (hi/lo): 2.00 - 2.00
Enrollment: 28

Student Responses:

Questions 1 through 11 are rated on a 5-point scale which described the instructor's teaching procedures:

- 1 low
- 5 high

	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	Total	Mean	Variance	StdDev
1. The instructor presents class material clearly	0	0	0	6	17	23	4.74	0.19	0.44
2. The course is well organized	0	0	2	8	13	23	4.48	0.42	0.65
3. The instructor appears well prepared for class	0	0	1	3	18	22	4.77	0.27	0.52

4. The instructor demonstrates an interest in students

0 0 3 5 15 23 4.52 0.51 0.71

5. The instructor is available to students during posted office hours (*Respond only if you have tried to contact the instructor during office hours*)

0 0 2 1 4 7 4.29 0.78 0.88

6. The instructor takes care to assure him/herself by tests, questions, discussions, etc. that the students are comprehending the subject

0 1 0 10 12 23 4.43 0.51 0.71

7. The instructor appears to be knowledgeable in the subject

0 0 0 1 22 23 4.96 0.04 0.20

8. The instructor appears to enjoy teaching

0 0 1 4 18 23 4.74 0.28 0.53

9. The instructor encourages the students to ask questions and/or express opinions

0 0 1 7 15 23 4.61 0.33 0.57

10. The course has contributed to my capacity for critical evaluation

0 0 3 10 10 23 4.30 0.47 0.69

11. The course has stimulated me to do independent thinking

0 0 3 9 11 23 4.35 0.49 0.70

.....

Questions 13 through 17 use different scales. The response scale is listed above each question.

12. The work load for this course in relation to other courses I have taken at this university is:

much lighter	lighter	about same	heavier	much heavier	Total	Mean	Variance	StdDev
-----------------	---------	---------------	---------	-----------------	-------	------	----------	--------

1	3	17	1	0	22	2.82	0.33	0.57
---	---	----	---	---	----	------	------	------

13. My college at Santa Clara is:

science human. engr. business

0 21 0 0 21 2.00 0.00 0.00

14. My status at Santa Clara is:

other grad junior sr. frosh soph

1 21 0 0 22 1.95 0.04 0.21

15. My primary reason for taking this course was:

free electv req'd non-major req'd for major course

0 2 1 19 22 3.77 0.36 0.60

16. My cumulative Santa Clara grade point average is:

below 2.79 3.29 4.0
2.3 to 2.3 to 2.8 to 3.3

0 0 1 21 22 3.95 0.04 0.21

17. What percentage of class time were you in attendance?

below 74% 89% 100%
50% to 50% to 75% to 90%

0 0 0 21 21 4.00 0.00 0.00

Number of response cards read: 23

Notes:

- None.

[SCU Homepage](#) | [Course Evaluations page](#) | [Search database](#) | [General Help](#)

03-04 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

02-03 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

01-02 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
00-01 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
99-00 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
98-99 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
97-98 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
96-97 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
95-96 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
94-95 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

Maintainer: EvalsManager evalmgr@www.scu.edu

Last changed: 29 August 2003

© Copyright 1995-2003 Santa Clara University